Breast Cancer 77

**Results:** 37,015 cases were available. Median follow-up was 94 months (range 0–167), age 58 years (21–100), tumour size 24 mm (0–930), number involved nodes 3 (1–75), nodes examined 15 (1–90). Ten-year overall survival (OS) was 51.9% (95% confidence interval 51.3–52.5). By TNM, OS ranged from 61.0% (60.2–61.8) in pN1, to 28.5% (28.5–29.9) in pN3, with  $\text{Chi}^2$  = 2777.7. By LNR, OS ranged from 62.9% (62.1–63.6) in low-LNR to 22.5% (21.1–23.9) in high-LNR,  $\text{Chi}^2$  = 4499.9. Table 1 shows OS when TNM and LNR were applied to cases previously staged with AJCC3. Figure 1 displays wider prognostic separation by LNR.

Table 1: AJCC3

	No. of cases	pNi 10yOS			TNM	LNR 10yOS			LNR
		pN1	pN2	pN3	Chi <sup>2</sup>	Low	Mid	High	Chi <sup>2</sup>
Stage II	27,525	63.6%	48.5%	34.5%	1328.4	64.3%	47.4%	29.5%	1783.3
Stage III	6,128	45.5%	36.0%	24.2%	238.7	50.9%	35.4%	17.8%	615.6
Stage IV	1,301	9.4%	9.1%	8.9%	0.4	18.0%	10.7%	4.8%	83.4
Unstaged	2.061	64.9%	50.4%	33.9%	132.1	69.3%	47.2%	32.3%	221.9%

**Conclusion:** LNR consistently improved nodal classification. Further investigations on its role for staging are warranted.

273 ORAL Tumour positive sentinel node findings in patients with DCIS

M. Leidenius<sup>1</sup>, K. Salmenkiví<sup>2</sup>, P. Heikkilä<sup>2</sup>, K. von Smitten<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Helsinki University Central Hospital, Breast Surgery Unit, Helsinki, Finland; <sup>2</sup>Helsinki University Central Hospital, Deaprtment of Pathology, Helsinki, Finland

Introduction: Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) is nowadays a common finding in patients with screen detected breast cancer. There are no axillary metastases in DCIS, by definition. However, even the most meticulous histological examination of the mastectomy or breast resection specimen may fail to reveal invasion. Our aim was to evaluate the prevalence of sentinel node metastases in patients with DCIS.

Methods: Altogether 1470 patients underwent sentinel node biopsy between April 2004 andMarch 2005 in the Breast Surgery Unit of Helsinki University Central Hospital. 93 of them had DCIS, with or without microinvasion in the in the breast resection or mastectomy specimen. These 93 patients were included in the study. A prospectively collected database was used.

Results: Tumour positive sentinel node findings were detected in 6 (6%) patients. One patient had a 7 mm metastasis and another a 1, 95 mm micrometastasis. The remaining four patients had isolated tumour cells only. Four patients had tumour positive sentinel findings in the intraoperative diagnosis. They underwent axillary clearance without further metastatic findings. Axillary clearance as a second operation was omitted in two patients with false negative intraoperative findings. Both of them had isolated tumour cells in a single sentinel node in the postoperative diagnosis.

Conclusions: The majority of the tumour positive sentinel node findings in patients with DCIS are micrometastases or isolated tumour cells only, without further metastases in the axillary clearance specimen. Apart from signs of missed invasion, these findings may represent tumour cells transported to the nodes by passive mechanisms due to preoperative breast manipulation. When micrometastases or isolated tumour cells are encountered in patients with pure DCIS in the breast resection or mastectomy specimen, axillary clearance is not warranted.

274 ORAL

French National Survey on DCIS; analysis of clinico-pathological features and treatments in 1289 patients.

B. Cutuli<sup>1</sup>, C. Lemanski<sup>2</sup>, A. Fourquet<sup>2</sup>, A. Bremond<sup>2</sup>, B. De Lafontan<sup>2</sup>, R. Payan<sup>2</sup>, R. Jourdan<sup>3</sup>. On Behalf of The French DCIS Study Group.

<sup>1</sup>Polyclinique De Courlancy, Reims, France; <sup>2</sup>French DCIS Study Group, Paris, France; <sup>3</sup>Astra Zeneca, Rueil, France

Introduction: DCIS represents 10-15% of all breast cancers (BC), but its treatment has changed over the past 20 years.

Material and methods: A prospective, nationwide survey on pure DCIS was conducted in 77 centres in France from March 2003 to April 2004, to assess epidemiological, radiological, pathological features and treatment options. 1289 patients were evaluable: 53% were treated in cancer centres, 28% in private clinics and 19% in University hospitals. Median age was 56 years.

Results: Conservative surgery alone (CS), CS with radiotherapy (CS+RT) and mastectomy (M) were performed in 99 (7.7%), 797 (61.8%) and 393 (30.5%) patients, respectively. 385 (30.2%) patients had BC family

history. Among 816 menopausal women, 417 (52.3%) underwent hormonal replacement therapy (HRT). Radiological and pathological features are detailed in the table according to treatment groups. In the CS+RT group, a 50-Gy median dose was delivered to the breast, with a 10-Gy boost in 49% of the cases. 170 patients underwent endocrine therapy, 138 by Tamoxifen, 25 by Aromatase Inhibitors and 7 by LHRH agonists. Important inter-regional variations in mastectomy rates (from 22.6% to 39%), RT use after CS (from 81% to 96%) and endocrine therapy (from 6% to 34%) were observed. CS alone was used only in selected cases, with small and low grade tumours, and no comedo subtype.

	CS (%)	CS+RT (%)	M (%)	Total (%)
Mammographically detected	87	90	83	88
Median tumor size	6 mm	11 mm	35 mm	15 mm
Grade				
1	57	22	10	21
2	31	41	36	38
3	12	37	54	41
Comedo subtype	5	22	33	24
ER+	81	74	61	70
PgR+	50	65	50	60
Previous biopsy	72	59	65	62
Surgery				
1 time	94	81	40	70
2-3 times	6	19	60	30
Sentinel node biopsy	7	13	42	21
Axillary dissection	4	5	22	10

**Conclusion:** These results are globally in accordance with the French DCIS guidelines recently published (www.fnclcc.fr).

## Oral presentations (Mon, 31 Oct, 9.15–11.15) Management of advanced breast cancer

**275** ORAL

First-line bevacizumab and paclitaxel in patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer: a randomized, phase III trial coordinated by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E2100)

K. Miller<sup>1</sup>, M. Wang<sup>2</sup>, J. Gralow<sup>3</sup>, M. Dickler<sup>4</sup>, M.A. Cobleigh<sup>5</sup>, E.A. Perez<sup>6</sup>, T.N. Shenkier<sup>7</sup>, N.E. Davidson<sup>8</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Indiana University Cancer Center, Indianapolis, USA; <sup>2</sup>Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA; <sup>3</sup>Pudget Sound Oncology Consortium, Seattle, USA; <sup>4</sup>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA; <sup>5</sup>Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, USA; <sup>6</sup>Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, USA; <sup>7</sup>British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver Cancer Center, Vancouver, Canada; <sup>8</sup>Johns Hopkins Oncology Center, Baltimore, USA

Purpose: Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), inhibits tumour angiogenesis, which is essential for tumour growth. Bevacizumab has been shown to have activity in late-stage metastatic breast cancer (MBC) (Cobleigh et al. Semin Oncol 2003; 30(Suppl 16):117–24; Miller et al. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:792–99). We designed a randomized phase III trial to compare the efficacy and safety of paclitaxel with or without bevacizumab as first-line therapy in patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer.

**Methods:** Patients were randomly assigned to receive paclitaxel 90 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on days 1, 8 and 15 of a 4-week cycle, either alone or in combination with bevacizumab 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 15. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS); response was assessed by RECIST criteria every 3 cycles. The study provided 85% power to detect a 33% improvement in PFS assuming a one-sided type one error of 2.5%, requiring that 650 patients be recruited.

**Results:** A total of 722 patients were enrolled between December 2001 and May 2004. Treatment arms were well balanced for median age (55 vs 56 years), disease-free interval ( $\leqslant$ 24 months in 41% of patients in each arm), ER status (63% and 64%), number of disease sites (29% and 28%) and exposure to adjuvant chemotherapy (64% and 65%). Hypertension requiring treatment (13.5% vs 0; p < 0.0001), grade 3/4 proteinuria (2.5% vs 0; p = 0.0004) and grade 3/4 neuropathy (20.5% vs 14.2%; p = 0.01) were more frequent in patients receiving combination therapy. Thromboembolic events and serious bleeding episodes were infrequent (<1.5%) in both groups. Combination therapy significantly increased response rates in all patients (28.2% vs 14.2%; p < 0.0001) and in the subset of patients with

78 Proffered Papers

measurable disease (34.3% vs 16.4%; p <0.0001). The current analysis is based on 355 events and shows that bevacizumab plus paclitaxel significantly prolongs PFS compared to paclitaxel alone (10.97 vs 6.11 months; HR = 0.498, p <0.001). Early follow-up suggests that bevacizumab plus paclitaxel improves overall survival (HR = 0.674, p = 0.01), although data are immature.

Conclusions: First-line bevacizumab plus paclitaxel significantly prolongs disease-free survival compared to paclitaxel in patients with MBC, with minimal increase in toxicity.

276 ORAL A Phase II multicentre uncontrolled trial of sorafenib (BAY 43–9006) in patients with metastatic breast cancer

G. Bianchi<sup>1</sup>, S. Loibl<sup>2</sup>, C. Zamagni<sup>3</sup>, A. Ardizzoni<sup>4</sup>, G. Raab<sup>5</sup>, S. Siena<sup>6</sup>, C. Wolf<sup>7</sup>, T. Westermeier<sup>8</sup>, L. Bergamini<sup>9</sup>, L. Gianni<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup> Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milano, Italy; <sup>2</sup> Klinikul der Johann W. Goethe Universitaet, Zentrum der Frauenheilkunde und Geburtshilfe, Frankfurt, Germany; <sup>3</sup> Policlinico S. Orsola Malpighi, Divisione Oncologia Medica, Bologna, Italy; <sup>4</sup> Azienda Ospedaliera, Oncologia Medica A, Parma, Italy; <sup>5</sup> Marienhospital, Fraujeilkunde und Geburtshilfe, Stuttgart, Germany; <sup>6</sup> Azienda Ospedaliera Niguarda, Divisione Oncologia Medica, Milano, Italy; <sup>7</sup> Frauenklinik vom Roten Kreuz, I. Gynakologische und Geburtshilfe Abteilung, Muenchen, Germany; <sup>8</sup> Bayer Vital GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany; <sup>9</sup> Bayer S.p.A, Milano, Italy

Background: Sorafenib (BAY 43–9006) is a novel, oral multi-kinase inhibitor that targets the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway at the level of Raf kinase and the receptor tyrosine kinases VEGFR-2 and PDGFR-β, thereby affecting the tumour and vasculature. In Phase I trials, single-agent sorafenib showed preliminary anti-tumour activity in patients with metastatic breast cancer. This multicentre, Phase II, open-label, single-arm study was designed to assess WHO-defined best overall response rate in patients with metastatic breast cancer, and investigate breast cancer biomarkers predicting for sorafenib sensitivity.

Patients and methods: 54 patients (ECOG PS 0-2) who had received at least one prior chemotherapy for metastatic breast cancer, and failed at least one adjuvant hormonal therapy if ER/PgR positive, and failed trastuzumab therapy if HER2 positive, received continuous oral sorafenib 400 mg bid. Patients had received between 1 and 11 prior chemotherapy regimens, and 64% received at least four prior chemotherapy treatments. The primary endpoint of the study was overall response rate. Other endpoints included time to progression (TTP), time to response, duration of response, and survival. Baseline markers in the tumour (pERK, VEGFR, HER-2, ER, PgR) and in the blood (serum EGFR, serum VEGF, serum uPA, plasma PAI-1) were collected to conduct pharmacodynamic studies. Adverse events (AEs) were graded by CTCAE v3.0.

Results: Of the 50 patients evaluable for response, one (1.9%) had a partial response, and 19 (35.2%) had stable disease. The overall median TTP was 55.5 days (range 0–280). The most common drug-related AEs were rash/desquamation (31.5% of patients), anorexia (27.8%), hand–foot skin reaction (HFS: 22.2%), pruritus (22.2%), and diarrhoea (18.5%). Frequent grade 3 events included rash/desquamation (5.6% of patients), fatigue and HFS (both 3.7%). Three patients withdrew due to AEs, 42 due to progressive disease, and two patients died during the study. There are five patients still undergoing treatment.

Conclusions: Sorafenib monotherapy was very well tolerated in this group of heavily pretreated patients. Prolonged stabilization of disease was observed in a few patients, and its association with specific tumour and/or circulating biomarkers is being investigated. Combination studies of sorafenib in breast cancer are planned.

277 ORAL

Interim results of a phase II randomized study of lapatinib (GW572016) as first-line treatment for patients with FISH-amplified advanced or metastatic breast cancer

S.H. Stein<sup>1</sup>, H.L. Gomez<sup>2</sup>, M.A. Chavez<sup>3</sup>, D.C. Doval<sup>4</sup>, L.W. Chow<sup>5</sup>, B. Newstat<sup>1</sup>, M.S. Berger<sup>1</sup>, <u>G.W. Sledge<sup>6</sup></u>. <sup>7</sup> GlaxoSmithKline, Medicine Development Center – Oncology, Collegeville, USA; <sup>2</sup> Instituto Especializado de Enfermedades Neoplasica, Lima, Peru; <sup>3</sup> Hospital Alberto Sabogal, Lima, Peru; <sup>4</sup> Rajiv Gandhi Cancer Institute and Research Centre, New Delhi, India; <sup>5</sup> Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China; <sup>6</sup> Indiana University, Indianapolis, USA

Background: Lapatinib is a selective, reversible, oral small molecule inhibitor of both ErbB1 (EGFR) and ErbB2 (HER-2/neu) kinase activity. Results of phase I and II studies in women with metastatic breast cancer (MBC) suggest activity of lapatinib in heavily pretreated patients (pts).

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate the response rate of two lapatinib dosing regimens as first-line treatment for pts with locally advanced or MBC and documented ErbB2 amplification by FISH.

Material and methods: Eligible pts had advanced or MBC that amplified the ErbB2 gene and measurable disease (RECIST). Pts were not previously treated with trastuzumab in the metastatic setting, and those with any prior therapy (except hormonal treatment) for advanced or metastatic disease were excluded. Pts were randomized (1:1, open label) to lapatinib 1500 mg as a single daily dose (QD) or lapatinib 500 mg twice daily (BID). The primary endpoint was response rate at week 12, after which pts with no signs of progressive disease could choose to continue lapatinib. Response was defined by RECIST criteria. Final enrollment of 130 patients is planned; an interim analysis was scheduled after 40 patients reached 12 weeks of treatment.

**Results:** As of April 21, 2005, 56 patients were enrolled. Interim analysis was performed on the first 40 patients randomized to treatment (n = 19 on 500 mg BID and n = 21 on 1500 mg QD). Results indicated that 10/40 had stage IIIb or IIIc disease, 30/40 had stage IV disease, and baseline ECOG PS score was zero (n = 13) or 1 (n = 27). No unexpected toxicity was reported thus far, with no grade 3/4 treatment-related adverse events. Efficacy by dose schedule appeared comparable. By investigator review, a confirmed PR was demonstrated in 12 pts (30%), with unconfirmed PR in 3 pts (7.5%). An additional 13 pts (32.5%) had SD for at least 8 weeks. Ten pts (25%) had PD, and efficacy was unknown in 2 pts. An independent radiology review was performed in the 40 pts, demonstrating PR in 14 pts (35%), unconfirmed PR in 2 pts (5%), SD in 14 pts (35%), PD in 5 pts (12.5%), and unknown efficacy in 5 pts (12.5%).

Conclusions: Lapatinib appears well tolerated and shows evidence of activity as first-line treatment for women with FISH-amplified advanced breast cancer. These data support the emerging role of small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the treatment of pts with breast cancers exhibiting ErbB2 amplification.

278 ORAL Phase I study of Ignatinih (GW572016) in combination with

Phase I study of lapatinib (GW572016) in combination with trastuzumab in advanced ErbB2-positive breast cancer

A.M. Storniolo<sup>1</sup>, H.A. Burris III<sup>2</sup>, M.D. Pegram<sup>3</sup>, B. Overmoyer<sup>4</sup>, K. Miller<sup>1</sup>, S.F. Jones<sup>2</sup>, P. Silverman<sup>5</sup>, E. Paul<sup>6</sup>, J. Loftiss<sup>6</sup>, L. Pandite<sup>6</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Indiana University Cancer Center, Indianapolis, USA; <sup>2</sup>Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, USA; <sup>3</sup>UCLA Center for the Health Sciences, Los Angeles, USA; <sup>4</sup>Northwestern CT Oncology/Hematology Associates, Torrington, USA; <sup>5</sup>Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, USA; <sup>6</sup>GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, USA

Background: Lapatinib is an oral, selective and highly potent competitive tyrosine kinase inhibitor of both ErbB1 and ErbB2. *In vitro* synergistic activity has been demonstrated between lapatinib and trastuzumab in ErbB2-positive breast cancer cell lines, and efficacy of both drugs may be enhanced via differential mechanisms of action and receptor site activity. Material and methods: Patients (pts) with advanced or metastatic ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer (FISH+ or IHC 2+ or 3+) were enrolled. Lapatinib was administered orally in escalating doses (750 mg-1500 mg/day) in combination with weekly standard dosing of trastuzumab (4 mg/kg loading dose followed by 2 mg/kg weekly). Three pts were treated at each dose cohort, with expansion to 6 if a dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was observed. Once optimally tolerated regimen (OTR) was determined, pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters of lapatinib and trastuzumab alone and in combination were studied. Clinical response assessments by RECIST criteria and cardiac assessments (starting at week 4 and again at week 8) were performed every 8 weeks.

Results: A total of 54 pts were enrolled in the trial: all were assessable for clinical activity, and 50 were assessed for toxicity. Median age was 53 years (range 30–80), and 49 pts had received prior trastuzumab. A median of 4 treatment periods (1 treatment period = 4 weeks) were administered (range 1–15). Toxicities included grades 1–3 diarrhea, fatigue, nausea, anorexia, and rash. No symptomatic declines in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were reported at any dose level. Six of 27 pts (22%) in the dose escalation cohort had a response (1 CR [duration 13+ mo], 5 PR [duration 2–10+ mo]), and 2 of 27 pts (7%) in the PK cohort had a PR (duration 3+-5+ mo). A total of 8 pts had SD (duration 6–8+ months).

**Conclusions:** Lapatinib 1000 mg/day plus standard weekly trastuzumab was determined as the OTR. The combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab showed clinical activity in this heavily pretreated ErbB2-positive advanced breast cancer population.